

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/053,713	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHRIST ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael C. Astorino	<b>Art Unit</b> 3736	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael C. Astorino.

(2) Thomas Perkins.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2005

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

1, and 16

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed the Applicant of some ambiguities in claims 1 and 16 that required clarity. The examiner and the Applicant agreed on language and to amend the claims via supplemental examiner's amendment. See Supplemental Examiner's Amendment for details. .